



REVIEW PAPER

doi DOI: <https://doi.org/10.20883/jms.2016.9>

The value of electron microscopy in the diagnosis of renal disease

Jakub Żurawski¹, Aldona Woźniak², Wiesława Salwa-Żurawska²

¹ Department of Biology and Environmental Protection, Division of Immunobiochemistry, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poland

² Department of Clinical Pathology, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poland

ABSTRACT

In the literature of recent years there are few publications on the importance of research in electron-microscopic pathomorphological diagnosis of kidney disease. The most important, which is diagnosed only on the basis of these studies are: minimal change disease, assessment of mesangial cell proliferation as well as the differentiation of the types of membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis, fibrillary glomerulonephritis, lupus nephritis, thin basement membrane disease, Alport syndrome, hemolytic-uremic syndrome. This report presents the most characteristic features of the ultrastructure allowing for diagnosis of these diseases.

Keywords: renal biopsy; kidney; electron microscopy.

Percutaneous kidney biopsy was introduced in clinical practice in the early 1950s. The first biopsies were carried out by Alwall on 13 patients already in 1944, however, the death of one of his patients discouraged Alwall from using biopsy as he considered it too risky [1]. He published his experience with renal biopsy only in 1952, one year after the publication by Iversen and Brun, who are considered the pioneers in this area [2]. From then on, biopsy of the kidneys was applied more and more often. At the same time, the technique of electron microscopy was introduced.

In Poland, electron microscopic examination was first introduced in Poznań by professor Janusz Gronowski in 1961. Kidney biopsy was applied earlier in Gdańsk, but without ultrastructural analyses.

To be emphasized is the fact that it was in kidney biopsies, that electron microscopy was applied for the first time to solve problems of human pathology.

Thanks to that research, a series of morphological and functional connections were discovered, in particular concerning the mesangium, the glomerular basement membrane and the juxtaglomerular apparatus.

Electron microscopic analyses made it possible to explain the character and location of series of changes

observed in optical microscopy and revealed changes not discerned in optical microscopy.

At present, for 10–13% of biopsies, electron-microscopic analyses improve the initial histopathological diagnosis, and in 30–40% of the cases they serve to broaden the information obtained by optical microscopy.

Without examinations of the ultrastructure, the classification of glomerular diseases would have been impossible.

The best examples of diseases the diagnosis of which is based exclusively on electron microscopy are: minimal change disease, assessment of mesangial cell proliferation as well as the differentiation of the types of membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis, fibrillary glomerulonephritis, lupus nephritis, thin basement membrane disease, Alport syndrome, hemolytic-uremic syndrome.

Minimal change disease

The diagnosis of this glomerulopathy is based on changes concerning the podocytes consisting in the enlargement and subsequent effacement of the foot processes as well as the growth of microvilli on the sur-

face of the podocytes. The latter is of essential significance, as it lasts longer than the foot process effacement and helps to establish the diagnosis when the biopsy is performed after the application of treatment. The process effacement then in general no longer concerns 70% of the capillary loops, which is required for the diagnosis of this glomerulopathy [3, 4].

Assessment of mesangial cell proliferation

Sometimes very difficult to assess by histologic examination is mesangial cell proliferation. Endothelial cells and/or podocytes can, due to their location in the immediate proximity of the mesangial areas, erroneously be included in the mesangial areas and lead to the diagnosis of mesangial cell proliferation. This occurs in particular when the changes are not very pronounced and can even result in an erroneous diagnosis of mesangial proliferative glomerulonephritis. Obviously, immunofluorescence is equally of basic significance for the diagnosis of this glomerulopathy, but the material for this examination cannot always be obtained or the result of the reaction is uncertain. Then, a reliable diagnosis depends on the correct assessment of the mesangial hypercellularity (from 4 cells up) and the discovery of deposits in the mesangium [5–9].

Membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis

Electron microscopy is also essential for the diagnosis of the relevant type of membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis. All 3 types present the same optical microscopy image, i.e. a splitting of the glomerular structure, an increase in the number of mesangial cells, double contouring of the capillary loops found in specimens stained with silver salts. The most important ultrastructural feature here is the transposition of the mesangial cell processes on the loop perimeter between the endothelium and the basement membrane least marked in type II as well as the presence of subendothelial deposits (in type I) and subepithelial deposits (in type III). The definition of the location of deposits as either subendothelial or subepithelial cannot be achieved by histologic examination nor by immunofluorescence, but only by electron microscopy. Electron microscopy is particularly important for the diagnosis of type II, which is based on the appearance of a blackening of the lamina densa. This is possible only with electron microscopy. Regardless of possible further developments regarding the classification of this glomerulopathy (exclusion of type II and association of type I with type III), these finds are still of indisputable significance.

The basic feature of membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis, i.e. the transposition of mesangial cell processes, occurs also in other glomerular changes, for example in case of a rejection of a transplanted kidney and in the hemolytic-uremic syndrome [10–14].

Fibrillary glomerulonephritis

This glomerular disease can only be diagnosed by electron microscopy. It is characterized by the presence of fibrillar deposits measuring 18 to 20 nm. These deposits are found in the mesangial matrix and in the glomerular basement membranes [15–17].

Lupus nephritis

Ultrastructure examinations can be helpful also in the assessment of lupus-related changes.

Among other ultrastructural changes of sometimes essential diagnostic significance, the presence of so-called virus-like inclusions must be mentioned. This change occurs in glomerular endothelial cells and is caused by a deformation of the channels of the endoplasmic reticulum which is typical for lupus nephritis. This nephritis is accompanied by fingerprint-like deposits which are probably the result of changes in the DNA [18–23].

Thin basement membrane disease

Thin basement membrane disease, like minimal change disease, cannot be diagnosed by histologic examination. Sometimes the thinning of the basement membranes can be observed in specimens stained with the Jones' method, but this occurs extremely rarely. Also, this result does not provide sufficient basis for a definite diagnosis of this syndrome. For this, an assessment of the ultrastructure is absolutely necessary.

A thickness of maximum 250 nm of the lamina densa of the basement membrane has been assumed for the diagnosis of this syndrome. In addition, the change must involve the majority of the capillary loops [24–30].

Alport syndrome

In case of the Alport syndrome the light microscopy image can be diverse. The presence of immature glomeruli together with mature glomeruli and various glomerular changes, as well as the presence of interstitial cells with a foamy cytoplasm are considered as fairly characteristic. However, these changes appear also in other diseases and do not allow for a final diagnosis. Significant are, on the other hand, changes observed in electron microscopy consisting in an uneven thickness

of the basement membrane of the renal glomeruli and the characteristic splitting of the lamina densa. Other symptoms of this syndrome, apart from kidney disorders, such as hearing loss or ocular manifestations, do not always occur and usually appear late, and genetic analyses are performed very rarely. Electron microscopy is therefore decisive in these cases.

Structural changes of the lamina densa of the basement membrane are sometimes observed also in other glomerulopathies, for example in thin basement membrane disease. They are then, however, discrete, concern only small sections and consist in a thinning rather than a splitting of the structure [31–34].

Hemolytic-uremic syndrome

The light microscopy image of the hemolytic-uremic syndrome has no specific features, the glomerular changes are quite diverse: presence of thrombi, detachment of endothelial cells, double contouring of loop walls, loop wall thickening. Sometimes, these changes are indiscernible. The electron microscopy image is more characteristic. Apart from the transposition of the mesangial cell processes in the initial phase in the space created by the detachment of endothelial cells, it reveals the presence of a plasma-like matter. This matter encloses small fibrin fibers, fine myofibrils, platelets or platelet fragments, endothelial cells or endothelial cell fragments, detached mesangial cell processes. Detached endothelial cells retain some of their functions, such as the production of basement membrane. The mesangium shows changes called „netting”, mesangiolysis and the presence of fibrin deposition. All these changes are identified above all by electron microscopy. They can persist for a certain time in recovering patients. In case of the occurrence of exponents of kidney damage in such patients a certain time after the acute symptoms have subsided, only the result of an electron microscopy can determine, if they are connected with the hemolytic-uremic syndrome or if they are symptoms of another glomerulopathy. This may involve difficulties with regard to the differentiation from the extremely rarely diagnosed fibrillary glomerulonephritis [35–38].

Focal segmental glomerulosclerosis

An important role is played by electron microscopy in detecting early changes, yet elusive in the light microscope. Here one should mention first of all, the early phase of glomerular sclerosis [39–42].

In recent years, the literature reveals little of the position concerning the importance of electron-microscopic study. Outweigh issues of immunology.

Some even say that the role of electron microscopy in pathomorphological practice is declining. Kidney pathology and in particular the pathomorphology of glomerulopathies represent a very strong argument against this view. Here, the value of electron microscopy cannot be overestimated. This is why pathomorphologists insist so strongly on securing biopsy material for such analyses.

Acknowledgements

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding sources

There are no sources of funding to declare.

References

1. Alwall N. Aspiration biopsy of the kidney, including i.a. a report of a case of amyloidosis diagnosed through aspiration biopsy of the kidney in 1944 and investigated at an autopsy in 1950. *Acta Med Scand.* 1952;143:430–435.
2. Iversen P, Brun C. Aspiration biopsy of the kidney. *Am J Med.* 1951;11:324–330.
3. Fogo AB, Cohen AH, Colvin RB, Jennette JCh, Alpers ChE. Minimal change disease and focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. In: *Fundamentals of Renal Pathology.* 2nd ed. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg. 2014: 45–58.
4. Bonsib S. Podocytopathies. Minimal change disease. In: *Atlas of Medical Renal Pathology.* Springer Science+Business Media New York. 2013: 201–202.
5. Bhasin H, Abuelo J, Nayak R, Esparza A. Mesangial proliferative glomerulonephritis. *Lab Invest.* 1978;39:21–29.
6. Kashgarian M. Mesangium and glomerular disease. *Lab Invest.* 1985;52:569–571.
7. D'Amico G. The commonest glomerulonephritis in the world: IgA nephropathy. *Q J Med.* 1987;64:709–727.
8. Emancipator SN. Current concept in renal pathology. IgA nephropathy: morphologic expression and pathogenesis. *Am J Kidney Disease.* 1994;23:451–462.
9. Salwa-Żurawska W, Bortkiewicz E, Woźniak A, Szumińska-Napiontek E, Warzywoda A, Maciejewski J, Kaczmarek J, Dworacki G. Mesangial glomerulonephritis (GNM) in children. Diagnostic problems, usefulness of repeated biopsy. *Pol J Pathol.* 1994;45:291–298.
10. Habib R, Gubler MC, Loirat C, Mäiz HB, Levy M. Dense deposit disease; a variant of membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis. *Kidney Int.* 1975;7:204–215.
11. Cameron JS, Turner DR, Heaton J, Williams DG, Ogg CS, Chantler C, Haycock GB, Hicks J. Idiopathic mesangio-capillary glomerulonephritis. Comparison of types I and II in children and adults in long-term prognosis. *Am J Med.* 1983;74:175–192.
12. Muda AO, Barsotti P, Marinozzi V. Ultrastructural histochemical investigations of “dense deposit disease”. Pathogenetic approach to a special type of mesangiocapillary glomerulonephritis.

- lary glomerulonephritis. *Virchows Archiv A Pathol Anat.* 1988;413:529–537.
13. Fogo AB, Cohen AH, Colvin RB, Jennette JCh, Alpers ChE. Membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis and C3 glomerulopathy. In: *Fundamentals of Renal Pathology*. 2nd ed. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg. 2014: 31–43.
 14. Salwa-Żurawska W, Bortkiewicz E, Woźniak A, Dworacki G, Maciejewski J. Morphological and clinical aspects of membrano-proliferative glomerulonephritis in children *Pol J Pathol.* 1996;47:215–224.
 15. Duffy JL, Khurana E, Susin M, Gomez- Leon G, Churg J. Fibrillary renal deposits and nephritis. *Am J Pathol.* 1983;113:279–290.
 16. Nasr SH, Valeri AM, Cornell LD, Fiedler ME, Sethi S, Leung N, Fervenza FC. Fibrillary glomerulonephritis: a report of 66 cases from a single institution. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2011;6:775–784.
 17. Fogo AB, Cohen AH, Colvin RB, Jennette JCh, Alpers ChE. Fibrillary glomerulonephritis. In: *Fundamentals of Renal Pathology*. 2nd ed. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg. 2014: 191–192.
 18. Garancis JC, Komorowski RA, Bernhard GC, Straumfjord JV. Significance of cytoplasmic microtubules in lupus nephritis. *Am J Pathol.* 1971;64:1–12.
 19. Norton W. Endothelial inclusions in active lesion of systemic lupus erythematosus. *J Lab and Clin Med.* 1969;74:369–371.
 20. Tisher CC, Kelso HB. Intraendothelial inclusions in kidneys of patients with systemic lupus erythematosus *Ann Int Med.* 1971;75:537–541.
 21. Salwa W, Topińko A. Ultrastructural glomerular lesions in cases with minimal involvement by systemic lupus erythematosus. *Folia Histochem Cytochem.* 1973;11:351–352.
 22. Topińko A, Salwa W. Struktury wtrętowe (wirusopodobne) w śródłonku kłębuszków nerkowych. *Pat Pol.* 1973;24:403–408.
 23. Kashgarian M. The role of the kidney biopsy in the treatment of lupus nephritis. *Ren Fail.* 1996;18:765–773.
 24. Dische FE, Weston MJ, Parsons V. Abnormally thin glomerular basement membranes associated with hematuria, proteinuria or renal failure in adults. *Am J Nephrol.* 1985;5:103–109.
 25. Mandache E, Gherghiceanu M. Ultrastructural defects of glomerular basement membrane associated with primary glomerular nephropathies. *Ultrastruct Pathol.* 2004;28:103–108.
 26. Forster K, Markowitz GS, D'Agati VD. Pathology of this basement membrane nephropathy. *Semin Nephrol.* 2005;25:149–158.
 27. Tryggvason K, Patrakka J. Thin basement membrane nephropathy *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2006;17:813–822.
 28. Żurawski J, Salwa-Żurawska W, Woźniak A, Bortkiewicz E, Maciejewski J, Idasiak-Piechocka I, Urbański B. Clinical and morphological aspects of thin glomerular basement membrane disease. *Pol J Pathol.* 2009;1:35–42.
 29. Colvin RB. Thin basement membrane disease in diagnostic pathology. *Kidney Disease. Amirsys.* 2011: 296–297.
 30. Liapis H, Godken N, Hmiel P, Miner JH. Histopathology, ultrastructure and clinical phenotypes in thin glomerular membrane disease variants. *Hum Pathol.* 2002;33:836–845.
 31. Spear GS, Slusser RJ. Alport's syndrome. Emphasizing electron microscopic studies of the glomerulus. *Am J Pathol.* 1972;69:213–224.
 32. Rumpelt HJ. Alport's syndrome: specificity and pathogenesis of glomerular basement alterations. *Pediatr Nephrol.* 1987;1:422–427.
 33. Meleg-Smith S. Alport disease: a review of the diagnostic difficulties. *Ultrastruct Pathol.* 2001;25:193–200.
 34. Thorner PS. Alport syndrome and thin basement nephropathy. *Nephron Clin Pract.* 2007;106:82–88.
 35. Koitabashi Y, Rosenberg BF, Shapiro H, Bernstein J. Mesangiolytic: an important glomerular lesion in thrombotic microangiopathy. *Mod Pathol.* 1991;4:161–166.
 36. Noris M, Remuzzi G. Hemolytic uremic syndrome. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2005;16:1035–1050.
 37. Fogo AB, Cohen AH, Colvin RB, Jennette JCh, Alpers ChE. Thrombotic microangiopathies. In: *Fundamentals of Renal Pathology*. 2nd ed. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg. 2014: 138–142.
 38. Shigematsu H, Dikman SH, Churg J, Grishman E, Duffy JL. Mesangial involvement in hemolytic-uremic syndrome. A light and electron microscopic study. *Am J Pathol.* 1976;85:349–362.
 39. Ben-Bassad M, BraslavskyD, Loton D, Eisenstein B, Dawidowitz M, Stark H. Ultrastructural changes suggestive of focal segmental glomerulosclerosis in atypical minimal change nephrotic syndrome. *Ultrastruct Pathol.* 1988;12:1–16.
 40. Chiang M, Hawkins EP, Berry PL, Barrish J, Hill LL. Diagnostic and prognostic significance of glomerular epithelial cell vacuolization and podocyte effacement in children with minimal lesion nephrotic syndrome and focal segmental glomerulosclerosis: an ultrastructural study. *Clin Nephrol.* 1988;30:8–14.
 41. Salwa-Żurawska W, Bortkiewicz E, Turczuk-Bierła I, Maciejewski J. Focal, segmental glomerulosclerosis in children. *Pathol Pol.* 1992;43:45–50.
 42. Salwa-Żurawska W, Woźniak A, Biczysko W, Bortkiewicz E, Kaczmarek E, Maciejewski J. Is vacuolisation of podocytes and glomerular endothelial cells prognostic value with respect to FSGS? *Pol J Pathol.* 1998;49:165–174.

Acceptance for editing: 2016-03-15
Acceptance for publication: 2016-03-31

Correspondence address:

Jakub Żurawski
Department of Biology and Environmental Protection
Division of Immunobiochemistry
Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poland
email: zurawski@ump.edu.pl